

Second stage consultation report

November – December 2008

Preston Park Station area report

Preston Park Station Report

Results

914 questionnaires were sent to addresses in roads which comprise the Preston Park Station area. 357 completed questionnaires were returned giving a response rate of 39%.

Q1 asked Are you in favour of residents parking scheme in your area?

(49.6%) said Yes

(49.6%) said No

And 3 people (0.8%) did not answer this question.

On a road by road basis:

Road	For		Against		No reply	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Compton Road (173)	31	39.5	46	58	2	3
Dyke Road (outside scheme) (95)	10	62.5	6	37.5	0	0
Hampstead Road (93)	30	73	11	27	0	0
Inwood Crescent (95)	8	27	22	73	0	0
Kingsley Road (71)	13	35	24	65	0	0
Millers Road (129)	7	18.5	31	81.5	0	0
Reigate Road (97)	43	84.5	8	15.5	0	0
Robertson Road (88)	14	50	14	50	0	0
Scarborough Road (34)	9	60	6	40	0	0
The Drove (22)	4	44.5	5	55.5	0	0
Woodside Avenue (17)	7	63.5	3	27.5	1	9
Total	176	49.6	176	49.6	3	0.8

The outcome is extraordinary close, in normal circumstances we would round the percentage to the nearest half – in this case they are shown – which would give a equal split – one can see from the above that one person gives an overall negative outcome. Roads in overall favour are:

Dyke Road (62.5%), Hampstead Road (73%), Reigate Road (84.5%) and Woodside Avenue (63.5%) whereas residents on Inwood Crescent (73%), Millers Road (81.5%) and Kingsley Road (65%) were least in favour.

Q2 asked Which applies to you?

Which applies to you?	No.	%
Resident	329	92.5
Both resident and business	4	1
Business	17	5
No Reply	5	1.5

Q3 asked how the parking proposals will affect the performance of your business?

24 people said that the proposals would affect their business (es):

- 3 said it would be helpful to my business
- 4 said it will not affect my business
- 5 said it will restrict my business
- 12 said it will be very restrictive for my business

A further part of Q3 asked how the scheme would affect your business. And responses were business specific with no one issue common to all.

Q4 asked If the scheme were implemented which type(s) of permit(s) would you apply for?

Out of 357 respondents, 352 people said they would apply for permits (some more than one type); the general distribution is as below:

Types of permits	No.
Residents	267
Residents Visitor	191
Business	8
Carer	7

Q5 asked how many cars are in your household:

	No.	%
0	38	10.5
1	224	63
2	77	22
3	7	2
4 or more	5	1.5

314 respondents own at least 421 cars which gives an average of 1.34 cars per household.

Q6 Signage

Respondents were asked **If a scheme were to be implemented would you be prepared to allow the council to affix parking signage to your wall/ property.** 349 people answered this question:

305 (88%) of those who answered this question did not want signage moved to their wall or frontage of their property, whilst 44 (13%) were happy for this to take place.

Road	For		Against	
	No.	%	No.	%
Compton Road	11	14	66	86
Dyke Road (outside scheme)	2	13	13	87
Hampstead Road	2	5	39	95
Inwood Crescent	3	10	26	90
Kingsley Road	5	3.5	32	86.5
Millers Road	1	3	36	97
Reigate Road	5	10	45	90
Robertson Road	5	8.5	22	81.5
Scarborough Road	2	3	13	87
The Drove	3	33	6	67
Woodside Avenue	4	40	6	60
Total	43	12	304	88

Comments about proposed changes to the scheme

Respondents were given an opportunity to comment on proposed changes to the scheme in two places on the questionnaire. These comments have been amalgamated. These can be categorised as follows:

Comments	No.	%
There is no need for a scheme	104	29.5
Not happy about scheme operating hours	23	6.5
This is a revenue raising exercise	49	14
Don't want to pay for parking	92	26
This will reduce the long term parkers in the area	74	21
Insufficient residents parking spaces in scheme	3	.5
Driving has become dangerous in the area due to dangerous parking	17	5
Worried about displacement	24	6.5
In favour because of current parking difficulties	112	31.5
General negative comments	13	3.5
Want a light touch scheme	4	1
Don't want double yellow lines across driveways	2	.5
General positive comments	6	1.5
Don't want 11 hr P&D as this encourages workers to park	2	.5
Not enough visitor permits	2	.5

Demographics

Respondents were distributed as follows:

Gender	No.	%
Male	162	45
Female	174	49
No reply	21	6
Total	357	100

Age	No.	%
18-24	8	2
25-34	58	16
35-44	95	27
45-54	83	23
55-64	44	12.5
65-74	21	6
75+	22	6
No reply	26	7.5
Total	357	100

Disability	No.	%
Yes	43	12
No	247	69
No reply	67	19
Total	357	100

Ethnicity	No.	%
White British	300	84
White Irish	3	1
Other white background	8	2
Indian	2	0.5
Other asian background	1	0.5
White and black Caribbean	3	1
Other mixed background	1	0.5
Caribbean	1	0.5
No reply	38	10
Total	357	100

